

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,785 07/28/2003		Eric L. Andersen	10992880-3	4514
75	90 04/22/2005	EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-P.	ACKARD COMPANY	GIBBS, HEATHER D		
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/628,	785	ANDERSEN ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Heather	D Gibbs	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	idress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above its less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ting latutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 28 July 2003.					
′—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
7—							
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.						
•							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗍	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,2	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
•	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	.	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies				l Stage		
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action			ed.			
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	PTO 049\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔯 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or s No(s)(Mail Date 07/28/03		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)		
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/28/03</u> .		·,				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh (US 5,878,319) in view of Hattori et al (US 5,971,388).

Regarding claim 1, Itoh teaches of an image scanner comprising: a single contact disposed on a main body, the contact glass 2 having a first range through which a first original document is passed to be scanned, and a second range over which a second original document is positioned to be scanned, the second range including the first range; an image sensor 21 scanning the first original at a fixed position in the first range and scanning the second original document while the image sensor moves through the second range (Col 3 Lines 33-67); an automatic document feeder6 arranged on the main body covering the contact glass 2 and being openable to exposed the contact glass 2, the automatic document feeder 6 conveying the first original document through a feed path to the fixed position and ejecting the first original document through an ejecting path from the fixed position (Col 3 Lines 57-67 and Col 4 Lines 7-39 and Fig 7).

Itoh does not teach of a detector adapted to detect when the automatic document feeder is opened and to detect a leading edge of the first original document whenever a document page is conveyed along the feed path to the first fixed position.

Hattori teaches of a detector 18 that detects when the document cover is opened and when a leading edge has been detected (Col 5 Lines 19-54 and Fig 4C).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hattori's detector in the image scanning apparatus of Itoh. Itoh's image scanning apparatus would easily be modified to include Hattori's detector as means of informing the user of any problems that occur.

Regarding claim 2, Itoh teaches wherein the detector 21 is operatively mounted on the automatic document feeder 6. (Col 3 Lines 33-37 and Figs 4 and 5).

3. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh (US 5,878,319) in view of Hattori et al (US 5.971,388) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Qualliam (US 5,441,247).

Considering claims 3-4, Itoh and Hattori disclose the image scanner as described above but fails to particularly point out wherein the detector comprises an optical switch and wherein the optical switch provides a light beam which is operatively interrupted by a pivotally mounted swing member.

Qualliam teaches of a sensor in a sheet feeding apparatus that can be optical switches or mechanical switches. As sheets are removed from the stack P, feeder mechanism 24 must pivot downwardly to engage the stack (Col 5 Lines 1-13).

Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Qualliam's detector with the image scanner of Itoh and Hattori. Itoh and Hattori's image scanner would easily be modified to include Qualliam's detector to deflect moving sheets from the automatic document feeder.

Art Unit: 2622

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh (US 5.878,319) and Hattori et al (US 5,971,388) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hirose et al (US 4,791,451).

Itoh and Hattori disclose the image scanning apparatus as discussed above in claim 1, but fail to particularly point out wherein it further comprises an automatic document feeder controller, the detector electrically coupled to the preventing the conveyance of a document page of the first original document to the fixed position whenever a document page of the second original document is positioned on the contact glass in the second range.

Hirose teaches of an automatic document feeder and document size detecting means that are provided on the automatic document feeder for detecting a size of a document, a contact glass on which the document is set automatically by the automatic document feeder, stopping position control means for controlling a stopping position of the document on the contact glass depending on the size of the document detected in the document size detecting means (Col 2 Lines 18-37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further include the automatic document feeder controller of Hirose's with the image scanner of Itoh and Hattori. Itoh and Hattori's image scanner would easily be modified to further include ADF controller means to control documents conveyed from the automatic document feeder.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heather D Gibbs Examiner

Art Unit 2622

hdg